## Study of pike-perch (Sander lucioperca) fishery from Razim Lake, Danube delta Romania
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Abstract: The Razim Lake is a great part of the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve (DDBR), with a surface of 54,000 ha. Fish fauna from Razim lake include pike-perch (Sander lucioperca) as one of the main top predators of fish community, however ecological significance indicates accessory species, recedent as dominance, sometimes been subrecedent species like in 2011 and 2012 sampling, but constant species regarding frequency in sampling. Otherwise, pike-perch is an important value DDBR fishery species with an average of $5 \%$ in total catch, range $2-10 \%$, from what Razim lake contributes with average $71 \%$, range 41-95\% in the 1960-2015 period. Razim Lake has been in average 13\%, range $1-40 \%$ from total Razim lake catch, in the same period of time. Since in RBDD has been fishing up to 2000 fisherman, in Razim lake activated up to 500 fishermen, however nowadays number of fishermen was regulated at lower range. Catch data series shows a continuous stock decline trend, considered to be a consequences of habitat degradation and over-exploitation. Fish stock estimation in last 15 years (2001-2016) support the hypothesis of over-exploitation. Based on length frequency structure of landings, the growth and exploitation parameters have been estimated as well as the average biomass and the maximum sustainable yields for the Razim lake pike-perch stock. Recommendation concerning fisheries management towards sustainable fishing of pike-perch stock, as increasing of cod-end seine mesh size and decreasing of fishing effort regulation are outlined.
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## INTRODUCTION

Razim Lake, part of the Razim-Sinoie lakes complex, is located in the southern part of the Danube Delta Biosphere Reserve and has a total area of approx. 54,000 ha, of which approx. 40,000-45,000 hectares open fishing waters.

Pike-perch (Sander lucioperca) is an important fishing stock by commercial value and catch volume of DDBR fishery with an average of $5 \%$ in total catch, range $2-10 \%$, from what Razim lake contributes with average $71 \%$, range $41-95 \%$ in the 1960-2015 period. Razim Lake has been in average $13 \%$, range 1 $40 \%$ from total Razim lake catch, in the same period of time. Since in RBDD has been fishing up to 2000 fisherman, in Razim lake activated up to 500 fishermen, however nowadays number of fishermen was regulated at lower range.

Most of the catches are fished with seine nets with a total length of those two wings of 400 m and mesh size of cod-end of 50 mm , being active between October and March month.

Over the past 10 years, it has seen an increase in the magnitude of catch size variations, probably due to instability of the population size in the context of the changing of the environment state of the entire ecosystem. Under new environmental conditions, the increase of the exploitation can become a pressure factor for balancing the population effectives and even more contribute to changing of the structure of the ichthyofauna. The papers has proposed to support management measures for sustainable exploitation of fish resources and conservation of fish species population in Razim Lake.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the assessment of the stock fish from Razim Lake, used analytical models. This approach assumes to know the length-frequency/specie and it working with population's parameters. The processing and analysing of the collected samples made after FAO related publications (Jones, 1984; Sims, 1988; Sparre et al., 1998; Staras et al., 1992; Staras et al., 1996; Navodaru et al., 2008).

The pike-perch fish catch was sampled at the Razim Lake landing points, especially in Jurilovca fishery vilage in October-November of each year of 2001-2016 period. The size of the samples was approx. 1000 individuals for what the total length (TL), total weight (TW) was measured and scales from 100-200 individuals were collected.

The historical analysis of the state of conservation and exploitation of the fishery resources was carried out on the basis of the studies carried out during the period 2001-2016 by the team from INCDDD Tulcea, which took over the sustainable management of the fish resources from DDBR.

## Research fishing

Razim lake was sampled in last 10 years as follow:

- $\quad$ Research seine fishing - most relevant gear for this type of lake.
- Electric fishing near shoreline, but mostly inside canals or backwater (gear used only for identification of small and short distance swimming fish species).
- $\quad$ Nordic gillnets relon multifilaments (very rare used in this lake)

Ecological parameters (dominance, frequency and ecological significance) was calculated in accordance with CPUE (Catch per Unit Effort) (Table 1).

Table 1 Ecological parameters classes and percentage limits used in analyses: $D=$ dominance, C=constancy, W=ecological significance (according Şindrilariu et al. 2002, Botnariuc and Vădineanu, 1982, Gomoiu and Skolka, 2001)

| Abundance /Dominance (D) <br> Class |  | Frequency <br> Class |  | Constancy (C) <br> $\%$ | Ecological significance (W) <br> Class |  | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| sporadic D1 | $<1$ | very rare | $\mathrm{C} 1=0-10$ | accidental | $\mathrm{W} 1<0.1$ |  |  |
| subrecedent | $1\left(2^{0}\right)-<2$ | rare | $\mathrm{C} 2=10.1-25$ | accessory | $\mathrm{W} 2=0.1-1$ |  |  |
| recedent D3 | $2\left(2^{1}\right)-<4$ | accessory | $\mathrm{C} 3=25.1-45$ | associate | $\mathrm{W}=1-5$ |  |  |
| subdominant | $4\left(2^{2}\right)-<8$ | Frequent | $\mathrm{C} 4=45.1-70$ | complementary | $\mathrm{W} 4=5-10$ |  |  |
| dominant D5 | $8\left(2^{3}\right)-16$ | very constant | $\mathrm{C} 5=70.1-100$ | characteristic | $\mathrm{W} 5=10-20$ |  |  |
| eudominant D6 | $>16\left(2^{4}\right)$ |  |  | main, leading | $\mathrm{W} 6>20$ |  |  |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## Pike-perch commercial fishery

Razim Lake pike-perch fishery with an average of $75 \%$ of capture from total RBDD pike-perch capture in the period of 1961-2016, is one of most important fishery by value of market species and volume of catch (Annex 1). In the last 56 years, pike perch capture trend continuously declined from. $730 \mathrm{t} /$ year to 227 t /year in Razim lake as well as in other RBDD waters from approx. 441 t /year to 100 t /year (Fig.1).


Fig. 1 - Global Capture Production for Sander lucioperca (tonnes) Source: FAO FishStat
Possible cause of this decline should be, habitat degradation (changing in sediment and nutrients loads) and overexploitation in last half of century. However, Razim lake has changed in last century from a lagoon lake with sandy bottom and brackish water to a freshwater lake with bottom siltation.

## Fish stock estimation

Between 2001 and 2016, 10,708 pike-perch specimens with a total weight of 9094.1 kg were sampled from commercial fish landings. The individual length ranges between 29 and 84 cm with an average weight of between 521 and 1255 g . (Table 2).

Table 2 - Structure of pike-perch commercial catches samples by length-weight frequency
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Average } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{TL})(\mathrm{cm})\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Average } \\ \text { weight } \\ (\mathrm{TW})(\mathrm{g})\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { TL limits } \\ (\mathrm{cm})\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { The most } \\ \text { frequent } \\ \text { Total Length } \\ (\mathrm{cm})\end{array} \\ \text { Year } & \text { No. ind. } & (\mathrm{kg})\end{array}\right]$

| 2008 | 1146 | 1307 | 45.5 | 1140 | $32-78$ | 39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2010 | 1172 | 1207.1 | 43.9 | 1030 | $31-78$ | 38 |
| 2011 | 1067 | 1338.9 | 46.2 | 1255 | $32-80$ | 40 |
| 2016 | 879 | 614.6 | 39.3 | 699 | $31-70$ | 38 |
|  | 10708 | 9094.1 | $37.3-46.3$ | $521-1255$ | $29-84$ | $35-46$ |

## Growth and exploitation parameters

Based on the structure samples of the frequency over the length of the commercial catches, the analytical methods, the growth and exploitation parameters of the Razim Lake pike-perch stock were estimated (Table 3).
The growth parameters was estimated using the methods based on the length and frequency analyse with the model "ELEFAN" (Sparre et. al. 1998) which are included in the ESP packet (Staraş et al., 1996).

Table 3. Growth and exploitation parameters, length-growth relation estimated in 2001-2016 period
L $\infty$ - the maximum total length ( cm ) that individuals can reach at which growth ceases, asymptotic length k - a curve parameter that determines the speed at which fish approaching to L $\infty$,
to - the theoretical age at which the length is " 0 ", without biological significance,
Lr - the length at which the fish enter the exploitation phase, length at recruitment to the fishery
Lc - the length of the first catch, the length at which $50 \%$ of the fish is retained by gear
M - natural mortality, F - fishing mortality coefficient, Z- total mortality coefficient
$W=a \times L^{b}$ is the relation length $(L t)$-weight $(W t)$, in which $a$ and $b$ are the equation coefficients

| Year | Loo | K | to | Lr | Lc | M | F | Z | $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{a x} \mathbf{L b}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | a | b |
| 2001 | 89 | 0.147 | -1 | 29 | 40 | 0.27 | 1.36 | 1.63 | 0.0152 | 2.8772 |
| 2003 | 90 | 0.15 | -0.7 | 29 | 45 | 0.27 | 0.52 | 0.79 | 0.008 | 3.0562 |
| 2004 | 90.4 | 0.16 | -0.6 | 30 | 40 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1,2 | 0.0086 | 3.0482 |
| 2005 | 91.4 | 0.16 | -0.3 | 32 | 41 | 0.28 | 1.2 | 1.48 | 0.0092 | 3.0154 |
| 2006 | 91 | 0.167 | -0.58 | 30 | 42 | 0.29 | 1.36 | 1.65 | 0.0094 | 3.0407 |
| 2007 | 91.2 | 0.163 | -0.7 | 33 | 40 | 0,29 | 0.7 | 0.99 | 0.0102 | 3.0174 |
| 2008 | 92 | 0.159 | -1 | 31 | 40 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0073 | 3.0926 |
| 2010 | 90.9 | 0.165 | -0.51 | 31 | 40 | 0.28 | 0.55 | 0.83 | 0.01120 | 2.9945 |
| 2011 | 92 | 0.164 | -0.9 | 32 | 45 | 0.28 | 0.64 | 0.92 | 0.00552 | 3.1911 |
| 2016 | 90.8 | 0.163 | -0.6 | 31 | 40 | 0.28 | 2.13 | 2.41 | 0.0187 | 2.8598 |

## Estimating the state and exploitation of stocks (Beverton - Holt model)

The state of exploitation of the Razim Lake pike-perch stock was analysed annually by positioning the current point of exploitation (Pc) with the coordinates expressing the length at which the selectivity of the fishing gear (seine cod-end) is $L c=0.5$ and the exploitation intensity $(F)$, on the $Y / R$, resulting from the application of the Beverton - Holt model (Figure 2).


Figure 2 Status and optimum exploitation of the pike-perch stock - Beverton \& Holt model Yield per Recruit (Y/R) diagram - Sander lucioperca

Since the optimization of the length of the first catch (Lc or L50\%) was made in the previous years, this parameter depending on the size of the mesh and the selectivity of the fishing net, the optimization of the fishing was done by modelling the exploitation by changing the fishing effort ( F ), and optimal biomass recalculation and Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) through the Virtual Population Analysis (VPA) and Thomson-Bell analytical model (Table 4). The analysis and optimization was done annually for a standard catch of 10 tons of fish.

Table 4 Assessment of the current state of exploitation during the period 2001-2016 and optimization measures (Fc - current fishing mortality coefficient, Fo - optimum fishing mortality coefficient, Lc - the length of the first catch, the length at which $50 \%$ of the fish is retained by gear, Ca actual catch (tonnes), Co - Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY), Ba - actual biomass, Bo - optimum biomass, Y/Rc - Current Yield per recruit, Y/Ro - Optimum Yield per recruit, $\alpha=\mathrm{MSY}_{10 \text { tons }} / 10$ )

| Year | Fc | Lc | Ca | Ba | $\mathrm{Fc} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fo}$ | Fo | Y/Rc | Y/Ro | Bo | Co | $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  | MSY |  |
| 2001 | 1,36 | 40 | 10 | 10,2 | 43 | 0,58 | 440 | 455 | 20,8 | 10,7 | 1,07 |
| 2003 | 0,43 | 45 | 10 | 21,2 | 72 | 0,31 | 565 | 575 | 29,7 | 10,18 | 1,018 |
| 2004 | 0,9 | 40 | 10 | 16,9 | 67 | 0,6 | 594 | 594 | 16,5 | 10,07 | 1,007 |
| 2005 | 1,2 | 41 | 10 | 13 | 67 | 0,8 | 598 | 623 | 18,2 | 10,2 | 1,02 |
| 2006 | 1,36 | 42 | 10 | 14,3 | 68 | 0,92 | 695 | 710 | 18,66 | 10,1 | 1,01 |
| 2007 | 0,7 | 40 | 10 | 16,7 | 71 | 0,5 | 709 | 711 | 21,7 | 10,2 | 1,02 |
| 2008 | 0,5 | 40 | 10 | 21,3 | 90 | 0,45 | 642 | 644 | 23.53 | 10,05 | 1.005 |
| 2010 | 0,55 | 40 | 10 | 22 | 89 | 0,49 | 650 | 652 | 24,4 | 10 | 1.00 |
| 2011 | 0,64 | 45 | 10 | 19,7 | 72 | 0,46 | 900 | 875 | 26.65 | 10,1 | 1.010 |
| 2016 | 2,13 | 40 | 10 | 9 | 42 | 0,9 | 533 | 575 | 18 | 10,98 | 1,098 |

The sustainable catch of fish stocks for the following year is calculated as follows:
$M S Y_{n+1}=\alpha^{*} C_{n}$
where $\alpha$ is a coefficient: $\alpha=M S Y_{10 \text { tons }} / 10$;

## The state and exploitation of the pike-perch stock in Razim Lake during 2001-2016

The structure of samples taken from commercial catches in 2001-2016 in Razim Lake is in the range 2984 cm with an average length of $37.3-46.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ and average weight of $521-1255 \mathrm{~g}$. The dominant length classes of catches are $40-48 \mathrm{~cm} 4-5$ years, and the age of 2-3 years ( $30-38 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) was generally poorly represented, with the exception of 2001, 2003 and 2016. The absence of this class of age is due to either excessive fishing in previous years or to a poorly recruited age class. Annually, there are specimens below the legal fishing size sampled from commercial catches, with a larger proportion in the years when recruitment was strong.
The sustainable state of exploitation of the pike-perch from the Razim Lake requires a reduction in fishing effort and compliance with actual landing legal size ( 40 cm ). Overexploitation, in particular, of specimens under legal size will be reflected in the following years by reducing catches.

## Pike-perch ecological state in the lake ichthyodiversity

In the fish community of Razim Lake (photo 2) ecological state of pike-perch (Sander lucioperca) is constant species in the frequency, recedent and accessory, associate species, except in years 2011 and 2012 when decreased to subrecedent, accesory species. Good ecological state for pike-perch is year 2016 (Table 5).
Total number of fish species sampled in Razim Lake differ year by year from 17 species (some methods of sampling are missing) to 40 species, where pike-perch is constant present in samples (Table 5).

Table 5 Dynamics of ecological state of pike=perch in Razim Lake fish community in last 10 years

| Ecological parameters/Years | 2005 | 2007 | 2008 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dominance (D) | D3 | D3 | D3 | D3 | D2 | D2 | D3 | D4 |
| Frequency (Constancy) (C) | C4 | C4 | C4 | C4 | C3 | C3 | C5 | C5 |
| Ecological significance (W) | W3 | W3 | W3 | W3 | W2 | W2 | W3 | W3 |
| Total number of captured fish species | 36 | 37 | 17 | 40 | 27 | 34 | 31 | 33 |
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Annex 1 Pike-perch capture from RBDD in the period of 1961-2016

|  | Pike-perch Razim Lake | Pike-perch other RBDD waters | Pike-perch total RBDD | \% of Razim Lake pike-perch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 322 | 274 | 596 | 54 |
| 1962 | 464 | 294 | 758 | 61 |
| 1963 | 730 | 147 | 877 | 83 |
| 1964 | 565 | 257 | 822 | 69 |
| 1965 | 467 | 90 | 557 | 84 |
| 1966 | 434 | 255 | 689 | 63 |
| 1967 | 487 | 229 | 716 | 68 |
| 1968 | 304 | 441 | 745 | 41 |
| 1969 | 350 | 233 | 583 | 60 |
| 1970 | 209 | 196 | 405 | 52 |
| 1971 | 473 | 280 | 753 | 63 |
| 1972 | 269 | 102 | 371 | 73 |
| 1973 | 619 | 131 | 750 | 83 |
| 1974 | 400 | 49 | 449 | 89 |
| 1975 | 548 | 140 | 688 | 80 |
| 1976 | 301 | 244 | 545 | 55 |
| 1977 | 303 | 115 | 418 | 72 |
| 1978 | 238 | 154 | 392 | 61 |
| 1979 | 315 | 202 | 517 | 61 |
| 1980 | 201 | 60 | 261 | 77 |
| 1981 | 275 | 195 | 470 | 59 |
| 1982 | 210 | 171 | 381 | 55 |
| 1983 | 344 | 193 | 537 | 64 |
| 1984 | 238 | 152 | 390 | 61 |
| 1985 | 432 | 92 | 524 | 82 |
| 1986 | 268 | 73 | 341 | 79 |
| 1987 | 149 | 24 | 173 | 86 |
| 1988 | 99 | 53 | 152 | 65 |
| 1989 | 83 | 18 | 101 | 82 |
| 1990 | 258 | 15 | 273 | 95 |
| 1991 | 216 | 48 | 264 | 82 |
| 1992 | 163 | 31 | 194 | 84 |
| 1993 | 173 | 30 | 203 | 85 |
| 1994 | 239 | 47 | 286 | 84 |
| 1995 | 84 | 16 | 100 | 84 |
| 1996 | 55 | 27 | 82 | 67 |
| 1997 | 68 | 17 | 85 | 80 |
| 1998 | 38 | 19 | 57 | 67 |
| 1999 | 87 | 55 | 141 | 61 |
| 2000 | 42 | 21 | 63 | 67 |
| 2001 | 50 | 30 | 80 | 62 |
| 2002 | 59 | 45 | 104 | 57 |
| 2003 | 37 | 26 | 64 | 59 |
| 2004 | 42 | 20 | 62 | 68 |
| 2005 | 75 | 23 | 97 | 77 |


| 2006 | 119 | 32 | 151 | 79 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2007 | 153 | 30 | 184 | 83 |
| 2008 | 89 | 21 | 109 | 81 |
| 2009 | 98 | 29 | 127 | 77 |
| 2010 | 102 | 29 | 131 | 78 |
| 2011 | 41 | 18 | 60 | 69 |
| 2012 | 64 | 25 | 89 | 72 |
| 2013 | 62 | 16 | 78 | 79 |
| 2014 | 25 | 13 | 37 | 66 |
| 2015 | 73 | 26 | 100 | 74 |
| 2016 | 96 | 32 | 128 | 75 |
| Min | 25 | 13 | 37 | 41 |
| average | 227 | 100 | 327 | 71 |
| Max | 730 | 441 | 877 | 95 |
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